

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

In re:)	Case No. 24-30422
)	(Chapter 11)
JAMIESON CAPEX FUND, LLC)	
)	
Reorganized Debtor.)	
_____)	

MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE WITH JEFF JOHNSON

Comes now Jamieson CAPEX Fund, LLC (“CAPEX” or the “Reorganized Debtor”), by and through undersigned counsel, pursuant to [Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019](#), and moves to approve a compromise with Jeff Johnson (“Mr. Johnson” or the “Defendant”) that will resolve the matter of *Jamieson CAPEX Fund, LLC v. Johnson*, Case No. 24-07028 (Bankr. D.N.D. 2024) (the “Adversary Proceeding”),¹ and in support thereof states as follows:

I. Introduction

The Defendant is a putative creditor of CAPEX who was on the cusp of receiving a summary judgment ruling against CAPEX and three co-defendants in state court when CAPEX petitioned for bankruptcy relief. Although other defendants had actively participated in the state court action, CAPEX had not answered the state court Complaint nor had it responded to the pending summary judgment motion. CAPEX, in turn, designed its chapter 11 filing to bring about a swift end to the state court proceedings. The parties have, since, propagated respective sides of a cordial-yet-potent dispute over the reaches of the automatic stay set forth in Section 362 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the “Automatic Stay”), the applicability of Section 523 of Title 11

¹ For the avoidance of ambiguity, one other adversary proceeding—to which Mr. Johnson is not a party—is currently pending in connection with the CAPEX bankruptcy. This motion has no bearing on that separate adversary proceeding.

of the United States Code to proceedings under subchapter V of chapter 11, and myriad related issues. They now wish to resolve these disputes—sort of.

At core, Mr. Johnson has always maintained that he is owed monies by CAPEX and CAPEX has always maintained that Mr. Johnson's entitlement is pegged to an act void by virtue of occurring in contravention of the Automatic Stay. CAPEX has, however, also always equally maintained that Mr. Johnson is welcome to file a proof of claim premised upon a merit-based assertion of some entitlement to relief, so long as the filing is not predicated upon a post-petition state court judgment.

The parties have now reached a procedural resolution to these disputes and, for the reasons set forth *infra*, respectfully urge such be approved by this Honorable Court.

II. Terms of Agreement

What the parties propose is a relatively straightforward, comprehensive settlement that will defuse much of the procedural animus attendant to this dispute whilst allowing both Mr. Johnson and CAPEX an opportunity to litigate the existence, *vel non*, of a debt on another day. Since the agreement is purely procedural in nature, and does not embody an ultimate resolution of Mr. Johnson's alleged entitlement to collect monies from the Reorganized Debtor, such is fully reduced to writing in this motion and not through a separate agreement. The terms are as follows:

1. The Adversary Proceeding shall be dismissed, by consent and with prejudice, with the parties thereto stipulating that the state court judgment obtained by Mr. Johnson, post-petition, is void. The order dismissing the counterclaim already entered by this Honorable Court, DE #12 in the Adversary Proceeding, shall be construed as an order of dismissal with prejudice to the extent not already so deemed by operation of law.

2. The parties shall bear their own respective fees and costs in connection with the Adversary Proceeding.

3. Mr. Johnson shall be free to petition the state court for entry of a new judgment or amended judgment, against all parties to the state court proceeding *except* for CAPEX.

4. Mr. Johnson will withdraw his pending motion for relief from the Automatic Stay, DE #56, with prejudice.

5. Mr. Johnson has already filed an amended proof of claim herein (the “Amended Claim”), to which CAPEX shall be free to object on any grounds permitted by law, at any time permitted by the confirmed plan of reorganization in this case, *except* CAPEX may not base its objection on any argument tethered to Mr. Johnson having previously allegedly violated the Automatic Stay.

6. Mr. Johnson is free to again amend his proof of claim, as would be any creditor in any case, within the parameters established by governing law, *except* Mr. Johnson may not subsequently amend his proof of claim so as to be reliant, in part or whole, upon the entry of a post-petition judgment in any state court proceeding.

III. Standard

Familiarly, “. . . the court may approve a compromise or settlement” upon appropriate notice to parties in interest. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019(a).

In this judicial circuit, “. . . the standard for evaluation of a settlement ‘is whether the settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interests of the estate.’” *Wigley v. Wigley (In re Wigley)*, 557 B.R. 678, 685 (B.A.P. 8th Cir. 2016) (quoting *Tri-State Financial, LLC v. Lovald*, 525 F.3d 649, 654 (8th Cir. 2008) (citing *Martin v. Cox (In re Martin)*, 212 B.R. 316, 319 (8th Cir. BAP 1997))).

In assessing fairness, equitability, and the best interests of an estate, a bankruptcy court is charged with examination of four factors:

(a) The probability of success in the litigation; (b) the difficulties, if any, to be encountered in the matter of collection; (c) the complexity of the litigation involved, and the expense, inconvenience and delay necessarily attending it; (d) the paramount interest of the creditors and a proper deference to their reasonable views in the premises.

Wigley, 557 B.R. at 685 (quoting *Drexel Burnham Lambert Corp. v. Flight Transp. Corp. (In re Flight Transp. Sec. Litigation)*, 730 F.2d 1128, 1135 (8th Cir.1984) (quoting *Drexel v. Loomis*, 35 F.2d 800, 806 (8th Cir. 1929))).

IV. Argument: The Compromise Should be Approved

Insofar as the settlement proposed herein is largely procedural, analysis under the four governing factors is somewhat stilted in nature. However, at core, the proposed arrangement embodies two substantive concessions: (i) Mr. Johnson is ceding his ability to rely on a post-petition state court judgment, acknowledging such to be void as to CAPEX; and (ii) the Reorganized Debtor is giving up its ability to seek attorneys' fees and suit costs from Mr. Johnson. There are, to be sure, other terms (dispensing with any request for stay relief, agreeing on the parameters of future claim objections, etc.), but those seem to naturally follow the two substantive concessions of the parties.

In terms of the probability of success in litigation, CAPEX likely would have prevailed in its stay violation suit. A post-petition judgment is, by just about any estimation, void. And efforts to obtain such a post-petition judgment are violative of the Automatic Stay. But "success" in the litigation would have scantily yielded a result more beneficial to the Reorganized Debtor than that occasioned herein. Yes, CAPEX could potentially have recovered attorneys' fees and suit costs, but Mr. Johnson, in turn, would have been able to urge that (i) no actual monetary damages were occasioned by the alleged stay violation; and (ii) any award of legal fees and suit costs should be

setoff against any debt owed by CAPEX to Mr. Johnson. So while CAPEX would have been likely to succeed in the Adversary Proceeding, such success would have been scanty greater than what is provided for hereunder.

Vis a vis the second element of the test, CAPEX does not feign that there would be any difficulty collecting from Mr. Johnson, who is a member of the local community and whose financial health is not in doubt.

In terms of the complexity of the litigation, disputes over alleged violations of the Automatic Stay are assuredly not the most novel or intricate of proceedings to grace the docket of this Honorable Court. Yet such proceedings are still not simple in nature and some attention would, invariably, have been expended further studying the various defenses invoked by Mr. Johnson.

Finally, this resolution conforms to the paramount interests of creditors, who are best served by having the Reorganized Debtor focus on collecting monies that can be distributed in accord with a confirmed plan and not expending time on stay violation litigation. No monies collected in the Adversary Proceeding would have directly benefited general unsecured creditors, with CAPEX only seeking to collect attorneys' fees and suit costs. Assuredly, bringing about an end to this episode, and allowing CAPEX to focus on other matters—while also permitting a merit-based analysis of Mr. Johnson's claim—is in the best interests of all parties.

V. Conclusion

WHEREFORE, Jamieson CAPEX Fund, LLC respectfully prays this Honorable Court (i) approve the compromise set forth herein; and (ii) afford such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

[Signature on Following Page]

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 28, 2025

By: /s/ Maurice B. VerStandig
Maurice B. VerStandig, Esq.
The Dakota Bankruptcy Firm
1630 1st Avenue N
Suite B PMB 24
Fargo, North Dakota 58102-4246
Phone: (701) 394-3215
mac@dakotabankruptcy.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 28th day of January, 2025, a copy of the foregoing was served electronically upon filing via the ECF system.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a), a copy of the notice attached hereto as Exhibit A (but not of this application or the other exhibits) is being sent, on the 28th day of January, 2025, via First Class Mail, postage prepaid, to all parties on the mailing matrix attached hereto as Exhibit B, *except* no copy will be mailed to (i) this Honorable Court; or (ii) attorneys employed by The Dakota Bankruptcy Firm.

/s/ Maurice B. VerStandig
Maurice B. VerStandig

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

In re:)	Case No. 24-30422
)	(Chapter 11)
JAMIESON CAPEX FUNDS, LLC.)	
)	
Debtor.)	
)	

NOTICE OF MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE WITH JEFF JOHNSON

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the above-referenced debtor has filed a motion to approve a compromise with Jeff Johnson. Under the proposed compromise, a pending adversary proceeding with be settled in exchange for Mr. Johnson acknowledging a post-petition state court judgment is void as against the debtor. Both parties will bear their own costs and expenses in connection with the action. The debtor remains free to object to Mr. Johnson's claim in this case. Other terms also guide this compromise and parties in interest are encouraged to examine the agreement in detail (which is set forth in the motion itself). A copy of the motion is being filed of even date with this notice.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that written objections to the motion, if any, shall be filed with the Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of North Dakota, 655 1st Ave. N. Suite 210, Fargo, ND 58102-4932 within twenty-one (21) days from the date of the mailing of this notice. Any objections not filed and served may be deemed waived.

[Signature Block and Certificate of Service on Following Page]

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 28, 2025

By: /s/ Maurice B. VerStandig
Maurice B. VerStandig, Esq.
The Dakota Bankruptcy Firm
1630 1st Avenue N
Suite B PMB 24
Fargo, North Dakota 58102-4246
Phone: (701) 394-3215
mac@dakotabankruptcy.com
Counsel for the Debtor

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 28th day of January, 2025, a copy of the foregoing was served via First Class Mail, postage prepaid, to all parties on the mailing matrix attached hereto as Exhibit B, *except* no copy will be mailed to (i) this Honorable Court; or (ii) attorneys employed by The Dakota Bankruptcy Firm.

/s/ Maurice B. VerStandig
Maurice B. VerStandig

Label Matrix for local noticing
0868-3
Case 24-30422
District of North Dakota
Fargo
Tue Jan 28 07:35:16 CST 2025

Jamieson CAPEX Fund, LLC
2865 Lilac LN N
Fargo, ND 58102-1706

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
655 1ST AVENUE NORTH, SUITE 210
FARGO, ND 58102-4932

Amber Carlson
712 124th Ave NE
Finley, ND 58230-9402

Ana A. Neir
Special Assistant Attorney General
10 Roberts St. N.
Fargo, ND 58102-4982

Arthur Mercantile, LLC
PO Box 8
Arthur, ND 58006-0008

Aspire
5195 45TH ST S
Fargo , ND 58104-3339

Berly D. Nelson
Special Assistant Attorney General
10 Roberts St. N.
Fargo, ND 58102-4982

Brad Sunderland
2102 Great Northern Drive
Fargo, ND 58102-3249

Brian Kounovsky
3680 54th Street S
Fargo, ND 58104-7480

Bryan Bartz
555 12th Street
Suite 900
Oakland, CA 94607-3637

CCU
204 W THAYER AVE
Bismarck 58501-3772

Capital Credit Union
204 W THAYER AVE
Bismarck , ND 58501-3794

Christianna A. Cathcart
1630 1st Avenue N
Suite B PMB 24
Fargo, North Dakota 58102-4246

Christopher M. McShane
444 Sheyenne Street, Suite 102
P.O. Box 458
West Fargo 58078-0458

Cole Bachmeier
5893 Autumn Drive S
Fargo, ND 58104-7654

Colleen Carlson
6130 12th Street SE
Bismarck, ND 58504-3131

Dale Lian
2861 Lilac Lane N
Fargo, ND 58102-1706

David Griffin
555 12th Street Suite 900
Oakland, CA 94607-3637

Derek Kane
5832 Crested Butte Rd
Bismarck, ND 58503-7302

Derek Sunderland
4632 Timberline Dr
Fargo, ND 58104-6654

Donna Fricke
918 Senate Dr
Bismarck, ND 58501-1963

Eric Hegerle
2312 5th Street S
Fargo, ND 58103

(p)FIRST INTERNATIONAL BANK & TRUST
ATTN ATTN LEGAL
3001 25TH STREET SOUTH
FARGO ND 58103-6160

First Western Bank & Trust
900 South Broadway
Minot, ND 58701-4658

Fred & Karen Browers
38568 296th Ave
Waubun, MN 56589-9370

Fred and Karen Brower
38568 296th Ave
Waubun, MN 56589-9370

Internal Revenue Service
PO Box 7346
Philadelphia, PA 19101-7346

Jack Christianson
508 66th Ave North
Moffit, ND 58560

Jamieson Capital
1420 9TH ST E STE 401
West Fargo , ND 58078-3381

Jamieson Legacy Fund
555 12th Street Ste 900
Oakland, CA 94607-3637

Jamieson Legacy Fund LLC
3309 Heartwood Dr SE
Mandan, ND 58554-6232

Jamieson Medical
2865 LILAC LN N
Fargo 58102-1706

Jamieson Natural
PO BOX 285
West Fargo , ND 58078-0285

Jamieson Natural Resources Fund, LLC
PO BOX 285
West Fargo , ND 58078-0285

Jamieson Natural Resources Fund, LLC
c/o: Katrina A. Turman Lang
500 2nd Ave. N., Suite 400
P.O. Box 1680
Fargo, ND 58107-1680

Jason Boutwell
87 N Woodcrest Dr N
Fargo, ND 58102-2154

Jeff Johnson
4001 15th Ave NW
Fargo, ND 58102-2832

Jeremy Carlson
2865 Lilac Lane N
Fargo, ND 58102-1706

Jim Stewart
154 S Woodcrest Dr
Fargo, ND 58102-2145

John Biwer
331 St Charles Place
West Fargo, ND 58078

KLH&J Land LLC
4001 15th Ave NW
Fargo, ND 58102-2832

Kara Johnson
555 12th Street Ste 900
Oakland, CA 94607-3637

Katrina A. Turman Lang
Wold Johnson, P.C.
500 2nd Ave. N., Ste. 400
PO Box 1680
Fargo, ND 58107-1680

Leftfield Development LLC
275 Elks Dr
Grand Forks, ND 58201-7575

Lyons Children Irrevocable Trust
6415 55th Ave SE
Bismarck, ND 58504-9410

MJM Investments, LLC
541 16TH ST NE
West Fargo , ND 58078-7214

Main Street Investment
2865 Lilac LN N
Fargo, ND 58102-1706

Mark Merck
555 12th Street Ste 900
Oakland, CA 94607-3637

Matthew Bitterman
4406 Daniel Street
Bismarck, ND 58504-8855

Michael Kempel
2809 Lilac Lane N
Fargo, ND 58102-1706

Michael Kuntz
275 Elks Dr
Grand Forks, ND 58201-7575

Michael Schuster
5079 Woodhaven Dr S
Fargo, ND 58104-4288

Mike Quast
4703 Harbor Trail SE
Mandan, ND 58554-7928

North Dakota Office of State Tax Commiss
600 E. Boulevard Ave. Dept. 127
Bismarck, ND 58505-0602

Nova DC, LLC
3081 125TH AVE NW
Watford City, ND 58854-9602

Rachel Ness
4763 Douglas Drive S
Fargo, ND 58104-4402

Sarah J. Wencil
Office of the U.S. Trustee
Suite 1015 U.S. Courthouse
300 South Fourth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55415-1320

Scott Ellefson
63 W Mirror Ridge Circle
The Woodlands, TX 77382-2513

Scott Howe
7725 Ridgeland Dr
Bismarck, ND 58503-6235

Secure Income Fund, LLC
2865 LILAC LN N
Fargo, ND 58102-1706

Shawn Hegvick
4439 Oakcreek Dr S
Fargo, ND 58104-6619

The Entrust Group
F/B/O Mike Holland
555 12th Street Ste 900
Oakland, ND 94607-3637

The Entrust Group
f/b/o Brian Carlson
555 12th Street Ste 900
Oakland, CA 94607-3637

The Entrust Group
f/b/o Lynn Carlson
555 12th Street Ste 900
Oakland, ND 94607-3637

The Entrust Group
f/b/o Matthew Retterath
555 12th Street Ste 900
Oakland, CA 94607-3637

The Entrust Group
f/b/o Scott Gorder
555 12th Street Ste 900
Oakland, CA 94607-3637

Trevor Kittelson
705 6th Ave NE
Hillsboro, ND 58045-4633

Val Marinov
3549 Grandwood Dr N
Fargo, ND 58102-4860

W&S Investment LLC
4401 19th Street SE
Mandan, ND 58554-4830

Wendy Baukol
555 12th Street Ste 900
Oakland, CA 94607-3637

Christianna A. Cathcart
The Dakota Bankruptcy Firm
1630 First Ave N
Ste. B PMB 24
Fargo, ND 58102-4246

Maurice VerStandig
The Dakota Bankruptcy Firm
1630 1st Avenue N
Suite B PMB 24
Fargo, ND 58102-4246

Robert B. Raschke
Assistant U.S. Trustee
Suite 1015 U.S. Courthouse
300 South Fourth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55415-1320

Thomas Kapusta
PO Box 90624
Sioux Falls, SD 57109-0624

The preferred mailing address (p) above has been substituted for the following entity/entities as so specified by said entity/entities in a Notice of Address filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 342(f) and Fed.R.Bank.P. 2002 (g) (4).

First International Bank & Trust
100 N MAIN, PO BOX 607
Watford City, ND 58854

The following recipients may be/have been bypassed for notice due to an undeliverable (u) or duplicate (d) address.

(u)Commissioner of the North Dakota Securitie

(u)Jamieson Natural Resources Fund, LLC

(u)State of North Dakota

(d)Jeff Johnson
4001 15th Ave NW
Fargo, ND 58102-2832

(u)Michael T. Schmitz

End of Label Matrix
Mailable recipients 74
Bypassed recipients 5
Total 79